# Math 138 – Practice midterm

Due date: October 15, 2025

#### **Instructions:**

- You have 2 hours to complete this exam.
- No external resources are allowed.
- Upload your completed practice midterm to Crowdmark.

Word of advice: While not 100%, we can likely tell if you use AI on this exam. Ultimately the grade on the practice midterm is *completion based*. To cheat on this will likely not result in a higher score on the practice midterm, but will almost certainly result in a lower score on the actual exam. Similarly, the two hour limit is not enforceable, but you should still follow it as it will help you get a better sense of your preparedness for the actual exam.

## Question 1. (15 pts)

Spot the error in the following proof, and explain why it is wrong.

**Proposition.** Every function  $f: \{1, ..., n\} \rightarrow \{1, ..., n\}$  has a fixed point (i.e., for some x one has f(x) = x).

*Proof.* We prove this by induction on n. When n=1 the only function  $f:\{1\} \to \{1\}$  satisfies f(1)=1, so has a fixed point. For the induction hypothesis, assume the claim is true for any function  $g:\{1,\ldots,n\} \to \{1,\ldots,n\}$ , and consider a function

$$f: \{1, \dots, n+1\} \to \{1, \dots, n+1\}.$$

Case 1: If f(n+1) = n+1 we're done.

Case 2: If  $f(n+1) \neq n+1$ , we can consider the function  $g: \{1, \ldots, n\} \to \{1, \ldots, n\}$  given by f(i) = g(i). By induction hypothesis there is some  $x \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$  such that x = g(x) = f(x).

Thus, in either case we see f has a fixed point as desired.

Solution: The error is in the induction hypothesis, specifically in Case 2. Namely, we defined g to be a function from  $\{1,\ldots,n\}$  to itself via the rule g(i)=f(i) for  $i\in\{1,\ldots,n\}$ . But, this is not necessarily well-defined as g(i) could be n+1 for some  $i\in\{1,\ldots,n\}$ . So, the best we may do is define g as a function  $\{1,\ldots,n\}\to\{1,\ldots,n+1\}$ , but then the induction hypothesis does not apply to g.

- (2 pts) Explaining why the result is wrong.
- (3 pts) Identifying that the issue is with the induction hypothesis step.
- (5 pts) Explicating the exact point that is an issue.
- (5 pts) Giving a counterexample showing why this point is not correct.

# Question 2. (15 pts)

Let  $n \ge k \ge 0$  be integers. Prove that

$$\binom{n}{k} = \binom{n-1}{k} + \binom{n-1}{k-1}.$$

Solution 1: We prove this by algebraic manipulation. Namely, it was established in class that

$$\binom{n}{k} = \frac{n!}{k!(n-k)!}.$$

In particular, we aim to show that

$$\frac{n!}{k!(n-k)!} = \frac{(n-1)!}{k!(n-k-1)!} + \frac{(n-1)!}{(k-1)!(n-k)!}.$$
 (1)

But, we may rewrite the terms on the right-hand side as

$$\frac{(n-1)!}{k!(n-k-1)!} = \frac{(n-1)!(n-k)}{k!(n-k)!}$$

and

$$\frac{(n-1)!}{(k-1)!(n-k)!} = \frac{(n-1)!k}{k!(n-k)!}.$$

Thus, using these expressions, and multiplying through (1) by k!(n-k)! shows our desired equation is equivalent to showing that

$$n! = (n-1)!(n-k) + (n-1)!k.$$

But, dividing both sides by (n-1)! this reduces to showing that

$$n = (n - k) + k,$$

which is true.  $\blacksquare$ 

Solution: Observe that the left-hand side is counting the number of ways of selecting k numbers from a set of  $\{1,\ldots,n\}$  elements. This is also what the right-hand side counts. Indeed,  $\binom{n-1}{k}$  counts the number of ways of choosing the k things from  $\{1,\ldots,n\}$  where none of them are n (i.e., the number of ways of choosing k things from  $\{1,\ldots,n-1\}$ ). But,  $\binom{n-1}{k-1}$  then counts the number of ways of choosing k things from  $\{1,\ldots,n\}$  where one of those k things in n, as this amounts to exactly the number of ways choosing the remaining k-1 things from  $\{1,\ldots,n-1\}$ . Thus, the sum of these accounts exactly for the number of ways of choosing k things from  $\{1,\ldots,n-1\}$  as desired.

- (5 pts) Coherence in proof writing.
- (5 pts) Valid strategy (e.g., algebraic manipulation or counting).
- (5 pts) Executed strategy without errors.

### Question 3. (20 pts)

Suppose that  $x \in \mathbb{Q}$ . Use the *p*-adic valuation to prove that if  $x^{\frac{2}{3}}$  belongs to  $\mathbb{Q}$  then  $x^{\frac{1}{3}}$  belongs to  $\mathbb{Q}$ .

Solution: By the result stated in class,  $x^{\frac{1}{3}}$  belongs to  $\mathbb{Q}$  if and only if  $\operatorname{sgn}(x) = \pm 1$  has a third root in  $\mathbb{Q}$ , and for all primes p one has  $3 \mid v_p(x)$ . But,  $\operatorname{sgn}(x) = \pm 1$  always has a third root in  $\mathbb{Q}$ — $\sqrt[3]{1} = 1$  an  $\sqrt[3]{-1} = -1$ . So, it suffices to prove that  $3 \mid v_p(x)$  for all primes p. But, by this same theorem we know that as  $x^{\frac{2}{3}} = (x^2)^{\frac{1}{3}}$  is in  $\mathbb{Q}$ , that  $3 \mid v_p(x^2)$  for all primes p. But,  $v_p(x^2) = 2v_p(x)$  as proven in class, so  $3 \mid 2v_p(x)$ . As 2 and 3 are coprime this implies that  $3 \mid v_p(x)$  for all primes p as desired.

- (5 pts) Coherence in proof writing.
- (3 pts) Correctly defining/using the p-adic valuation.
- (5 pts) Pursuing a correct strategy
  (e.g. Recalling that x<sup>1/3</sup> is in Q if and only if 3 | v<sub>p</sub>(x) for all p, and sgn(x) has a cube root.
- (2 pts) Correct identifying at some point that 2 and 3 being coprime was important.
- (5 pts) Correctly executing strategy.

### Question 4. 20 pts

Let A and B be subsets of a set S. Prove that

$$A\triangle B = (A \cup B) \cap (A^c \cup B^c).$$

Solution: Recall that  $A \triangle B = (A - B) \cup (B - A)$ , i.e., those x such that x is in A or B but not both.

Suppose first that  $x \in A \triangle B$ . If  $x \in A$  then  $x \notin B$ . So, if  $x \in A$  then  $x \in B^c$ , and so  $x \in A \cup B$  and  $x \in A^c \cup B^c$ , so  $x \in (A \cup B) \cap (A^c \cup B^c)$ . The same argument applies by symmetry if, instead,  $x \in B$ . Moreover, as x is in A or B this accounts for all cases. Thus, we see that  $A \triangle B \subseteq (A \cup B) \cap (A^c \cup B^c)$ .

Conversely, suppose that  $x \in (A \cup B) \cap (A^c \cup B^c)$ . Then, x belongs to A or B, and also x belongs to  $A^c$  or  $B^c$ . If x belongs to A, then as it belongs also to one of  $A^c$  or  $B^c$ , and it can't belong to  $A^c$  by definition, it belongs to  $B^c$ . So, in that case  $x \in A \cap B^c = A - B \subseteq A \triangle B$ . By symmetry, if x belongs to x be

As  $A \triangle B \subseteq (A \cup B) \cap (A^c \cup B^c)$  and  $(A \cup B) \cap (A^c \cup B^c) \subseteq A \triangle B$ , we deduce that  $A \triangle B = (A \cup B) \cap (A^c \cup B^c)$ , as desired.  $\blacksquare$ 

- (5 pts) Coherence in proof writing.
- (3 pts) Correctly recalling/using the definition of  $\triangle$
- (2 pts) Giving a correct strategy of approach (i.e., show that each side contains the other).
- (5 pts) Correctly arguing for left-hand side contained in right-hand side.
- (5 pts) Correctly arguing for right-hand side contained in left-hand side.

# Question 5. (30 pts)

Prove by induction that if  $T_n$  is the number of length  $n \ge 1$  strings in the symbols 0, 1, 2 with exactly one 2 then

$$T_n = n \cdot 2^{n-1}$$

Solution: We proceed by induction.

**Base case:** When n = 1 we see that the only possible such strings in  $\{0, 1, 2\}$  of length one containing exactly 2 are just the string 2 itself. So,  $T_1 = 1 = 1 \cdot 2^{1-1}$ .

Inductive hypothesis: Assume that  $T_n = n \cdot 2^{n-1}$ . Consider then a string of length n+1 in the symbols 0, 1, 2 with exactly one 2. We have two cases.

<u>Case 1:</u> The first digit is a 2. In this case the remaining n-length string can consist of any string in  $\{0,1\}$  of which there are  $2^n$  each choices (for each of the n places there are two choices of 0 or 1).

<u>Case 2:</u> If the first digit is not a 2, then it is either a 0 or 1. In either case, the remaining length n string can be any string in 0, 1, 2 with exactly one 2. By induction hypothesis this is  $T_n = n \cdot 2^{n-1}$ . Thus, the total number of cases here is  $2 \cdot (n \cdot 2^{n-1}) = n \cdot 2^n$ .

As cases 1 and 2 are disjoint and account for all possibilities we see that

$$T_{n+1} = 2^n + n \cdot 2^n = (n+1)2^n,$$

as desired.

- (5 pts) Coherence in proof writing.
- (5 pts) Correctly identifying the correct base step(s).
- (8 pts) Giving correct general structure for inductive hypothesis argument (e.g., explicating where the usage of exactly one two is important).
- (12 pts) Correctly executing proof of inductive hypothesis.